

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/721,582	11/25/2003	Joseph F. Obermiller	PA-5213-CIP-CON	5774
7590 12/29/2004			EXAMINER	
Charles W. Agnew			BLANCO, JAVIER G	
Registered Pate				
P.O. Box 2269			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bloomington, IN 47402-2269			3738	

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/721,582	OBERMILLER, JOSEPH F.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Javier G. Blanco	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/25	<u>//2003</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.					
Application Papers						
<u>_</u>						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Evaminer				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	ity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/721,582 Page 2

Art Unit: 3738

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species,

from each of the four groups of species, of the claimed invention:

# Valve prosthesis

Species A: Figure 1

Species B: Figure 3

Species C: Figure 7

Species D: Figure 12

Species E: Figure 15

Species F: Figure 17

Species G: Figure 18

Species H: Figure 19

Species I: Figure 20

Species J: Figure 21

Species K: Figure 30

Species L: Figure 31

Species M: Figure 32

Species N: Figures 35, 36

Species O: Figures 38, 39

Species P: Figure 42

Species Q: Figure 44

Art Unit: 3738

Species R: Figure 47

Species SR: Figures 48, 49

# Material of support frame

Species A: Wire (Figure 1)

Species B: Sheet of material (Figure 41)

### Corners of support frame

Species A: Figure 5

Species B: Figure 9

Species C: Figure 10

Species D: Figure 11

#### Attachment of biomaterial to itself

Species A: Cross-linking agent

Species B: Adhesive

Species C: Heat source (e.g., welding)

Species D: Pressure (e.g., crimping)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, from each of the four groups of species, for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 3738

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Javier G. Blanco whose telephone number is 571-272-4747. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 a.m.-4:00 p.m.), first Friday of the bi-week off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

**JGB** 

December 21, 2004

David H. Willse Primary Examiner